#### THESIS

# SCHOOL-BASED INTERVENTIONS TO CONTROL DENGUE VECTOR IN THE GAMPAHA DISTRICT

Submitted by

N.M.L.Radhika

(FGS/05/PhD/17/2016/01)

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Kelaniya
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Public Health



August 2022

This thesis has been accepted by the University of Kelaniya for the award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (.?-?-?). It is not allowed to lolish this as a thesis accepted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy without the authority of the University

Deputy Registrar/Examinations



## SCHOOL-BASED INTERVENTIONS TO CONTROL DENGUE VECTOR IN THE GAMPAHA DISTRICT

## Submitted by

### N.M.L.Radhika

(FGS/05/PhD/17/2016/01)

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Kelaniya in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Public Health



August 2022

#### **DECLARATION**

I declare that the work embodied in the thesis in my own and has not been submitted for any degree in the University or any other institute, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written or orally communicated by another person except where due reference is made in the text.

Signature of the candidate

To the best of our knowledge the above particulars are correct.

Main Supervisor

Professor W. Abeyewickreme, Professor of Parasitology,

. Heyer Wal Department of Para clinical Sciences,

Faculty of Medicine,

General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka

Co- supervisor

Professor K. T. A. A. Kasturiratne,

Department of Public Health,

Faculty of Medicine,

University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka

Co- supervisor

Professor P.A.D.H.Nayana Gunathilaka,

Department of Parasitology,

Faculty of Medicine,

University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka

My Parents and Teachers

## **CONTENTS**

TOPI	ICS	PAGE
CON	TENTS	i
LIST	OF FIGURES	xi
LIST	OF TABLES	xiv
LIST	OF APPENDICES	xvi
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS	xix
ABST	TRACT	xxi
No:		Page
	CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	01
1.1	Dengue as a mosquito borne virus disease	01
1.2	Dengue vector control strategies	03
1.3	Dengue vector control in school settings	06
1.4	Justification of the present study	08
1.5	Research Question	14
1.6	Research Hypothesis	14
1.7	Research Objectives	14
	1.7.1 General objective	14
	1.7.2 Specific objectives	14

	CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.1	History of dengue infection	15
2.2	Epidemiology of Dengue	16
2.3	Global situation of dengue	18
2.4	A global strategy for dengue prevention and control	20
2.5	Dengue in Sri Lanka	21
2.6	Sri Lankan initiatives for combating Dengue	22
2.7	Vectors of dengue transmission and their bionomics	25
2.8	Vulnerability of the school community for dengue infections	28
2.9	Risk factors for dengue hemorrhagic fever	32
2.10	Risk perception for dengue control	33
2.11	Implementation of vector control interventions at schools	33
2.12	Importance of health education and awareness programmes for vector	35
	control at schools	
2.13	Guidelines of the Ministry of Education for health promotion	37
	in schools	
	CHAPTER 3 - METHODOLOGY	41
3.1	Work plan	41
3.2	Selections of study area	42
	3.2.1 Study population	43
	3.2.2 Study design	44

3.3	Baseline survey	44
	3.3.1 Collection of primary information	44
	3.3.2 Entomological surveys and vector identification	45
	3.3.3 Focus Group Discussions with school community	46
	3.3.4 Conducting awareness programmes at the school level	48
	3.3.4.i Conducting structured awareness programmes at	48
	school level	
	3.3.4.ii Conducting awareness programmes for the whole	50
	school	
	3.3.4.iii Conducting awareness programmes for parents at	50
	school level	
	3.3.4.iv Conducting awareness programmes for Development	51
	Officers attached to the Ministry of Education	
	3.3.4.v Conducting awareness programmes for Environmental	52
	Officers of the Central Environmental Authority.	
3.4	Effectiveness of School-Based Health Education Programmes to	53
	improve Knowledge and Practices on dengue prevention	
	3.4.1 Study design	53
	3.4.2 Data Collection	53
	3.4.3 Data Analysis and Interpretation	55
	3.4.4 Statistical Analysis	55

3.5	Interventional and operational survey	56
3.6	Other activities implemented under the Dengue prevention	58
	programme at schools	
3.7	Ethical considerations	61
3.8	Assessment of Significance of the study	61
	CHAPTER 4 - RESULTS	62
4.1	Basic information	62
	4.1.1 School profile information	62
	4.1.2 Environmental and demographic characteristics of the selected	64
	schools	
	4.1.3 Existing infrastructure of selected schools	66
	4.1.4 Waste disposal mechanism followed by the school	69
	Communities	
4.2	Entomological investigations	71
	4.2.1 Breeding habitat categories encountered at the schools in	71
	baseline and follow-up surveys	
	4.2.2 Diversity of breeding habitats at the schools in baseline and	71
	follow- un surveys	

4.3	Entomological Indices	74
4.4	Awareness of dengue and school-based health education programmes	74
	4.4.1 Awareness among students on different aspects of dengue	75
	4.4.1.i Existing knowledge of students at different schools	77
	on dengue	
	4.4.1.ii Variation of the existing knowledge after the	81
	awareness programme	
	4.4.2 Influence on the vector abundance	86
		74
4.5	The children's activities towards finding solutions to address the	88
	problem of dengue	
	4.5.1 Group activities of school students	88
	4.5.2 Activities conducted by the members of Dengue Prevention Task	89
	Force	
	4.5.3 The children's activities for addressing the dengue problem	91
	4.5.3.i. Solid waste management practices	91
	4.5.3.ii. Disseminating knowledge related to dengue prevention	92
	4.5.3.iii. Children's reflection by drawing pictures/posters,	94
	creative writing	100
4.6	The outcomes of intervention activities as practices	96
	4.6.1 Improving waste management practices through proper	96
	disposal	
	4.6.2 Introducing composting and gardening activities at schools	97

.7	Evaluation of the progress of the interventions after implementation	99
	4.7.1. Evaluation through best practices	99
	4.7.1.i Elimination of breeding habitats through environmental	99
	modification	
	4.7.1.ii Cleaning of malfunctioning drainage systems	100
	4.7.1.iii Reusing discarded items to prevent dengue vector	101
	breeding	
	4.7.1.iv Elimination of vector breeding with simple best practices	102
	4.7.1.v Filling the spaces of bamboo stumps and tree holes	103
	4.7.1.vi Rearranging and repacking of removed roof tiles	104
	4.7.2 Evaluation through entomological findings	104
	4.7.2.1 Diversity and abundance of containers observed at the	105
	baseline survey	
	4.7.2.2 Diversity and abundance of containers observed at the	106
	first follow-up survey	
	4.7.2.3 Diversity and abundance of containers observed at	107
	the second follow-up survey	
	4.7.2.4 Diversity and abundance of containers observed at the	108
	third follow-up survey	

	4.7.3 Comparison of the number of containers in control and	109
	intervention schools at baseline and each follow-up survey	
	4.7.3.1 Comparison of the number of containers found at	109
	control schools and intervention schools at the	
	baseline and the first follow-up surveys	
	4.7.3.2 Comparison of the number of containers found at	111
	control schools and intervention schools at the	
	baseline and the second follow-up surveys	
	4.7.3.3 Comparison of the number of containers found at	112
	control schools and intervention schools at the	
	baseline and the third follow-up surveys	
4.8	Perceptions of the school environment and dengue prevention	114
	activities	
	4.8.1 Cleanliness of the school environment	115
	4.8.2 Information on breeding sites of dengue vector in the	122
	school premises	
	4.8.3 Important activities to reach a clean, green, and healthy	125
	school environment	

.9	Identification of barriers and promoting factors	129
	4.9.1 Barriers for dengue prevention activities within the school	129
	4.9.1.1 Inadequate community participation in waste	130
	management and dengue prevention initiatives to	
	maintain a clean and healthy environment	
	4.9.1.2 Existing administrative issues that have an impact on	131
	waste management and dengue preventive strategies	
	4.9.1.3 Poor knowledge, attitudes, and practices about waste	134
	management and dengue control efforts	
	4.9.2 Promoting factors for dengue prevention activities within the school	135
	4.9.2.1 Availability of opportunities for getting more	136
	community participation in waste management and	
	dengue prevention initiatives to maintain a clean and	
	healthy environment	
	4.9.2.2 Availability of strategies and resources for solving	139
	administrative issues that have an impact on waste	
	management and dengue preventive strategies	
	4.9.2.3 Willingness of the school community to conduct	141
	programmes to uplift knowledge, attitudes, and	
	practices about waste management and dengue control	
	efforts	

	CHAPTER 5 - DISCUSSION	145
5.1	Awareness of dengue and school-based health education programmes	145
5.2	Risk factors for dengue transmission and community participation	150
	activities	
	5.2.1 Effect of environmental and demographic characteristics on	152
	vector Indices	
	5.2.2 Effect of existing infrastructure at selected schools on vector indices	154
	5.2.3 Effect of waste disposal mechanisms on vector indices	155
	5.2.4 Effects of field surveillance and monitoring activities on dengue	157
	prevention	
5.3	Effect of community participation on dengue control and solid waste	159
	management	
	5.3.1 Raising the level of awareness on dengue control	160
	5.3.2 Strengthening Environmental Pioneers and School Development	162
	Officers for capacity building	
	5.3.3 Conducting solid waste management programmes to implement	164
	the 3R concept	
	5.3.4 Sustainability of community participation programmes in	167
	vector control interventions	

5.4	Conducting activities for getting community participation in dengue	168
	control	
5.5	Identification of the barriers and promoting factors for the school	170
	community to participate in dengue vector control	
	5.5.1 Barriers and promoting factors related to solid waste	172
	management in dengue control	
	5.5.2 Barriers and promoting factors related to administrative	174
	issues for dengue control	
5.6	Public health implications of the present study	179
	5.6.1 Delivering a sustainable health promotion programme	179
	5.6.2 Contribution of the present project to the existing programmes in the	182
	county	
5.7	Limitations and methodological issues	191
5.8	Conclusion and Recommendations	193
	5.8.1 Conclusions	193
	5.8.2 Recommendations	194
	CHAPTER 6 - REFERENCES	202
		202
7.0	APPENDICES	232
8.0	RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS	250

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	The PM (Classes) Mark 22 (Section of the Control	Page
No:		No:
Figure 3.1	Collection of larvae by dipping and pipetting methods	45
Figure 3.2	Conducting Focus Group Discussion at a selected intervention	46
	school	
Figure 3.3	Conducting the structured awareness programme at	49
	intervention schools	
Figure 3.4	Conducting awareness programmes for the whole school	50
Figure 3.5	Conducting the awareness programme for parents	50
Figure 3.6	Conducting training and awareness programme for	51
	Development officers	
Figure 3.7	Conducting awareness programmes for Environmental	52
Captage of the state of	Officers of the Central Environmental Authority	
Figure 3.8	Distributing plant seeding to the intervention schools	59
Figure 3.9	Environmental Pioneers engaging in agricultural activities in	59
	the school	
Figure 4.1	Mosquito breeding habitats predominantly encountered from	73
	school premises	
Figure 4.2	Cumulative knowledge of students on dengue prior to and	75
	after the awareness programme	
Figure 4.3	Percentage of students belonging to different knowledge	79
	categories based on the existing knowledge	

Figure 4.4	Cluster analyses for overall existing knowledge levels	80
Figure 4.5	The dbRDA plot with clustering of schools based on the	81
	existing knowledge of students on different aspects of dengue	
Figure 4.6	Percentage distributions of students into different knowledge	83
	categories after the awareness	
Figure 4.7	Clustering of schools based on the post knowledge of students	84
	on different aspects of dengue to the land	
Figure 4.8	The dbRDA plot with clustering of schools based on the post-	85
YE WELL TO	knowledge of dengue	
Figure 4.9	Conducting field surveillance activities with student	89
	volunteers in interventional schools	
Figure 4.10	Conducting Shramadhana programmes at two interventional	90
or control of	schools	
Figure 4.11	Creative work from discarded material practicing the Re-use	92
	concept	
Figure 4.12	Conducting awareness programme for different strata in the	93
	school community	
Figure 4.13	Children's reflection on dengue by creative work	95
Figure 4.14	Environmental management through waste management	97
	practices	
Figure 4.15	Introduction of composting barrels to the intervention schools	98
Figure 4.16	Plant seedlings distributed to the school community for	99
	gardening activities	

Figure 4.17	Removal of clogged roof gutters after the implementing	100
	interventions	
Figure 4.18	Cleaning of blocked drainage systems after implementation of	100
	interventions	
Figure 4.19	Reuse of removed roof gutters after the implementation of	101
	interventions	
Figure 4.20	Reuse of discarded tyres after the implementation of	101
	interventions	
Figure 4.21	Filling the water-retaining spaces in flower pots after the	102
	implementation of interventions	
Figure 4.22	Filling the spaces of bamboo stumps and tree-holes after	103
	implementation of interventions	
Figure 4.23	Rearrangement of roof tiles after the implementation of	104
	interventions	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Table 3.1	The line of the awareness programme	49
Table 3.2	Activities implemented under 3R concept	57
Table 4.1	Basic information of selected schools	63
Table 4.2	Environmental and demographic characteristics of selected	65
	schools	
Table 4.3	Infrastructure facilities at selected schools	68
Table 4.4	Waste disposal practices in selected schools	69
Table 4.5	Mosquito breeding habitats examined with the percentage	72
	positive for Aedes larvae in baseline and follow-up surveys	
Table 4.6	Entomological Indices at control and interventional schools in	74
	each survey	
Table 4.7	Average mean scores for different knowledge categories and	76
	overall knowledge of students on dengue prior to and after the	
	awareness programme	
Table 4.8	Average mean scores for different knowledge categories and	77
	overall knowledge of students on dengue before the awareness	
	programme	
Table 4.9	Average mean scores for different knowledge categories and	82
	overall knowledge of students on dengue	

Table 4.10	Summarized findings of the entomological surveillance	87
	activities conducted at schools	
Table 4.11	Total numbers of dry, water-holding and infested containers at	105
	each entomological survey conducted in control and	
	intervention schools	
Table 4.12	The mean number of dry, water-holding, and infested	110
	containers present in the intervention (IG) and control (CG)	
	groups in the baseline (BL) and first follow-up (1FU) surveys	
Table 4.13	The mean number of dry, water-holding, and infested	112
	containers present in the intervention (IG) and control (CG)	
	groups in the baseline (BL) and second follow-up (2FU)	
	surveys	
Table 4.14	The mean number of dry, water-holding, and infested	114
	containers present in the intervention (IG) and control (CG)	
	groups in the baseline (BL) and third follow-up (3FU) surveys	

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Annexure		Page
Annexure 1	Ethical Clearance of the Faculty of Medicine	232
Annexure 2	Research information sheet	233
Annexure 3	School consent form	236
Annexure 4	Interviewer administered questionnaire	237
Annexure 5	Focus Group Discussion Guide	244
Annexure 6	List of school based interventions introduced to the	245
	intervention schools	
Annexure 7	Letter of acknowledgement: CEA - 1	247
Annexure 8	Letter of acknowledgement: CEA - 2	248

#### LIST OF ABRIVIATIONS

Abbreviation

Description

Ae.

Aedes

A.D

Anno Domini

**ANOSIM** 

Analysis of Similarities

BL

Base-Line

CEA

Central Environmental Authority

CG

**Control Group** 

CI

Container Index

COMBI

Communication for Behavioral Impact

CSR

Cooperate Social Responsibility

**DBRDA** 

Distance-Based Redundancy Analysis

DF

Dengue Fever

DHF

Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever

**DPTF** 

Dengue Prevention Task Force

**EPP** 

Environmental Pioneer Programme

F

Female

**FGD** 

Focus Group Discussion

FM

Female and Male

FU

Follow-Up

GM

Gampaha

**GLM** 

General Linear Model

Abbreviation

Description

HI

House index

IG

Intervention Group

IS

**Intervention School** 

KAP

Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices

KE

Kelaniya

M

Male

PC

**Provincial Council** 

PHI

Public Health Inspector

PET

Polyethylene Terephthalate

PI

Premise Index

MC

Municipal Council

WCLG

Waste Collected by Local Government

WHO

World Health Organization

1 FU

First Follow-Up

2 FU

Second Follow-Up

3 FU

Third Follow-Up

3R

Reduce, Reuse, Recycle

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I'd like to express my gratitude to Professor W. Abeyewickreme of the Department of Para clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, for permitting me to pursue my Ph.D. I' am grateful to him for his profound knowledge, good advice, and constant encouragement given during the study.

I'd like to acknowledge my co-supervisor Professor K. T. A. A. Kasturiratne of the Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, for her kind guidance and encouragement during the study. Her extensive knowledge helped me to complete this academic work more meaningfully.

I extend my heartiest gratitude to my co-supervisor, Professor.P.A.D.H.Nayana Gunathilaka, Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya for his kind supervision and an endless support throughout the study. His insightful comments, suggestions and encouragement were immensely helpful for me to complete my thesis.

I would be grateful to National Research Council for providing the necessary financial support through NRC TO 14-04 fund. I appreciate and acknowledge for the kind support given by the Authorized officials of NRC.

I'm sincerely grateful to Professor Lal Mervin Dharmasiri, the former Chairman of the CEA, Mr. Muthukudaarachchi, the former Director General of the CEA, Mr. Hemantha Jayasinghe, the present Director General of the CEA for giving their consent to conduct my research. I acknowledge other supportive officers of CEA, specially Ms. Lakmali Kalpage, and Mr. Nalindra Maduwala for supporting me. I'd like to express my gratitude to the National Dengue Control Unit personnel and Finlay's Company for their support.

With many thanks I'd like to remind the Head of the Molecular Medicine Unit, members of academic staff, and members of the non-academic staff for providing the required laboratory facilities and technical assistance during the research. Dr. Asha Dilrukshi, Dr. Lahiru Udayanga, Dr. Tharaka Ranathunga, Mr. Udaya, Mr. Thushara, field assistants and all the other supportive colleagues deserve my sincere gratitude for their support.

I take this opportunity to acknowledge the authorized officials in the Ministry of Education who gave me the permission to conduct this research in the selected schools belonging to the Gampaha district. I extend my acknowledgements to the Principals, teachers, other members of Dengue Prevention Task Force, student groups of the selected schools and Public Health Inspectors for their enthusiastic participation in the execution of the planned interventions. I would like to thank each and every person who assisted me in any way during the research, even though I have not given their names.

I really would like to convey my heartiest gratitude to the examiners of the thesis. I do not forget to thank the authors of the references as well. I appreciate the supportive lecturers of the academic staff and supportive members of the non-academic staff of the Faculty of Medicine. I'd like to extend my thanks to Mr.R.M.Kapila Jayasinghe and other members of Faculty of Graduate studies of the University of Kelaniya for their kind coordination and support.

Despite the numerous challenges I had during my studies, I believe my parents are the driving force behind all of my academic endeavors. I'd be grateful, and I'd like to express my greatest gratitude to my parents for their endless blessings.

#### ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to determine the effectiveness of school-based interventions to control dengue vectors in the Gampaha District, Sri Lanka. Sixty schools were selected randomly from the school registry in four selected educational zones (15 each) as interventional (Kelaniya and Gampaha) and control (Negombo and Minuwangoda). A baseline and three follow-up entomological surveys were conducted in all selected schools at 6-months intervals during 2016-2018. The intervention consisted an activity plan with entomological field surveys, larval control interventions followed by a structured awareness programme of dengue covering general epidemiology, clinical symptoms, prevention, vector control and waste management. Focus group discussions were conducted with stakeholders at 30 intervention schools to identify limitations in current control approaches. The level of awareness of dengue disease was assessed before and after the awareness programme using a structured questionnaire. Awareness levels were categorized as very poor (< 20%), low (21-40%) moderate (41-60%), good (61-80%) and excellent (> 80%). The change in awareness level was determined using General Linear Model. The effectiveness of the vector control interventions were assessed by the total number of dry, water-holding, and infested containers at follow-up survey compared to the baseline. Over, 46.31% (n=1016) of students had good level of awareness prior to the structured awareness programme and reached to the excellent level (41.84%; n=918) after the awareness programme. The improvement of the awareness level was identified as statistically significant according to the chi-square test of independence. At the base-line survey, Aedes albopictus was the predominant species in both control (60%; n=18) and intervention (63.33%; n=19) groups. Leaf axils were the leading breeding habitat followed by discarded plastic and metal containers in the control group. The number of discarded containers was reduced drastically in the interventional schools in the follow-up surveys. There was a statistically significant difference in dry (p<0.001), water-holding (p=0.027), and infested (p=0.006) containers after the last follow-up survey at interventional schools compared to the controls. This study warrants the need of sustainable participation of school community for dengue control. Therefore, strengthening Environmental Pioneer Programme will improve the capacity in dengue control interventions.

Keywords: Dengue, vector breeding, vector control, school-based interventions