

Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research

Volume 15, Issue 4, Page 138-146, 2024; Article no.AJACR.122361 ISSN: 2582-0273

Identification of Major Interfering Substances for Heavy Metal Determination in Northern Sea Areas of Sri Lanka

Anoja. N a++*, R. C. L. De Silva b# and J. Prabagar c#

^a National Water Supply and Drainge Board, Jaffna, Sri Lanka. ^b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka. ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajacr/2024/v15i4302>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122361>

Original Research Article

Received: 27/06/2024 Accepted: 29/08/2024 Published: 03/09/2024

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the physicochemical characteristics of seawater from five locations along the northern Sri Lankan coast, encompassing areas with varying degrees of anthropogenic activity. The analysis revealed significant variations in several parameters, potentially influenced by human influences. The results reveal significant variations in several parameters. For instance, turbidity levels varied from 1.88 NTU in Thalaiyadi to 13.3 NTU in Pannai, with Pannai, Myliddy, and Kakkaithivu exceeding the recommended limit of 5 NTU. Total suspended solids (TSS) ranged from

++ Senior Chemist;

Cite as: N, Anoja., R. C. L. De Silva, and J. Prabagar. 2024. "Identification of Major Interfering Substances for Heavy Metal Determination in Northern Sea Areas of Sri Lanka". Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research 15 (4):138-46. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajacr/2024/v15i4302.

[#] Senior Lecturer;

^{}Corresponding author: Email: nanoja11@gmail.com;*

3 mg/L in Thalaiyadi to 83 mg/L in Pannai, surpassing the recommended limit of 30 mg/L. Electrical conductivity (EC) ranged from 45,700 µS/cm in Nainathivu to 49,500 µS/cm in Pannai, exceeding the typical seawater range. Nitrate levels ranged from 10.4 mg/L in Thalaiyadi to 19.1 mg/L in Myliddy. Major cations such as calcium (361 mg/L to 417 mg/L), magnesium (1,222 mg/L to 1,327 mg/L), and sodium (10,140 mg/L to 10,530 mg/L) also showed significant differences across locations. These findings highlight the need for continuous monitoring and effective management strategies to mitigate the negative impacts of anthropogenic activities on these coastal ecosystems. Furthermore, the complex seawater matrix presents challenges for heavy metal determination using Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (GFAAS) due to spectral interferences from major cations, non-specific matrix effects, and interferences from organic matter, turbidity, and suspended solids. Careful consideration of these factors through background correction techniques, matrix modifiers, sample pre-treatment, and optimization of analysis parameters is crucial for accurate heavy metal determination in these environments. This study contributes to a better understanding of the environmental conditions and emphasizes the need for further research on heavy metal contamination and the development of robust analytical methods tailored to address the challenges posed by the complex seawater matrix in northern Sri Lanka.

Keywords: Sea water; matrix interferences; anthropogenic activity; heavy metal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The northern sea areas of Sri Lanka, encompassing regions like Pannai, Gurnagar, Kaakai Theevu, Thalaiyadi, Myliddy, and Nainathivu, harbor critically important marine ecosystems [1]. These ecosystems provide a rich tapestry of marine life, serving as breeding and feeding grounds for commercially valuable fish species, thereby significantly contributing to local fisheries [1]. Additionally, they offer recreational opportunities and support coastal communities by bolstering tourism and other maritime activities. However, anthropogenic activities such as industrial development, urbanization, and agricultural practices pose a growing threat to the pristine nature of these environments [2-4]. These activities can introduce various contaminants, including heavy metals, into the seawater, potentially disrupting the delicate ecological balance and jeopardizing the health of marine life [5]. Heavy metals are a particular concern due to their persistence and bioaccumulative nature. They tend to accumulate in organisms over time and magnify as they move up the food chain, ultimately posing a threat to human health through seafood consumption [6]. Accurate determination of heavy metal concentrations in seawater is crucial for effective environmental monitoring and management strategies. However, seawater analysis presents a unique challenge due to its complex matrix of dissolved salts and other inorganic substances. These inorganic interferences can significantly impact analytical techniques such as Graphite Furnace Atomic

Absorption Spectrometry (GF-AAS), leading to inaccurate results [7].

Previous attempts to utilize GF-AAS in regional laboratory of the National Water Supply and Drainage Board, Jaffna for heavy metal analysis in the aforementioned sea areas have yielded unreliable results, often generating false positive or false negative signals. This is likely due to the interference of dissolved salts and inorganic and organic compounds present in the seawater matrix. Therefore, identification and characterization of these interfering substances are crucial for developing reliable methods for heavy metal analysis in these marine environments. This research aims to address this critical gap by identifying and characterizing the major inorganic substances present in the seawater of the designated northern Sri Lankan Sea areas that may interfere with the accurate determination of heavy metal concentrations. Understanding these interferences is essential for developing reliable methods for heavy metal analysis, ultimately enabling effective environmental monitoring and management strategies for the protection of these vital marine ecosystems. The specific objectives of this study are: To analyze the total composition of seawater in the designated regions, focusing on major cations and anions, total dissolved solids (TDS), total hardness, turbidity, and suspended particles, using standard methods outlined by the American Public Health Association (APHA, 2021), to identify and characterize the major inorganic substances that may interfere with the determination of heavy metals using standard analytical techniques like GF-AAS.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area Selection

The study focused on two distinct areas within the northern Sri Lankan coastal seas (Fig. 1). The first set of sampling locations (Pannai and Kaakai Theevu) were chosen due to their proximity to known anthropogenic activities, suggesting a higher potential for heavy metal contamination. The second set of locations (Thalaiyadi, Myliddy, and Nainathivu) were selected as areas with less anticipated influence from human activities.

2.2 Sample Collection and Preservation

Water samples were collected following the guidelines outlined in Table 1060:I of the American Public Health Association [8]. Standard sampler was used for sample collection. This ensured proper sample collection, preservation, and transportation to the laboratory for analysis.

2.3 Analysis of Water Samples

A comprehensive analysis was conducted on the collected water samples, encompassing physical

and chemical parameters. All testing procedures adhered to established standards: Sri Lankan Standards SLS 614:2013 and APHA guidelines [8]. Physical parameters such as Color was measured using a Spectrophotometric method while Turbidity was determined through a Nephelometric approach. Chemical Parameters such as Electrical Conductivity (EC) and pH were analyzed using instrumental methods. Spectrophotometry with a HACH DR6000 spectrophotometer quantified Nitrates, Nitrites, Total Iron, Total Phosphate, and Fluoride. Titrimetric methods were employed for Chloride (Argentometric titration), Total Alkalinity (Acid base titration), Total Hardness (EDTA Titration), Magnesium (EDTA Titration), and Calcium (EDTA Titration) analysis while Sulfate was measured using a turbidometry method. Total Dissolved salts was analyzed by gravimetric method. Finally, Sodium and Potassium were determined by the flame technique of Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS). All the testing are conducted at Regional Laboratory, National Water Supply and Drainage board Jaffna.

Fig. 1. Sample location

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study investigated the physicochemical characteristics of seawater samples collected from five designated locations within the northern Sri Lankan coastal seas, encompassing areas with varying degrees of anthropogenic activity (Fig. 1). The findings revealed notable variations in several parameters, potentially influenced by human influences in the region.

Table 1 represents the physical and chemical quality of the sea water collected from Nainathivu, Myliddy, Thalaiyadi, Pannai and Kakkaithivu.

3.1 Physical Parameters

Color values ranged from 5 Pt-Co units (Nainathivu) to 39 Pt-Co units (Kaakai Theevu) While most locations exhibited color within the natural seawater range of 5-20 Pt/Co units (Jerlov, 1968), the significantly higher value observed in Kaakai Theevu suggests the presence of elevated organic matter content, potentially linked to anthropogenic activities in this area, which is known to have higher human

influence compared to others Mikunthan et al., [2], Joshua et al.,[9].

Turbidity levels varied between 1.88 NTU (Thalaiyadi) and 13.3 NTU (Pannai). Although Thalaiyadi and Nainathivu displayed turbidity within the recommended limit of 5 NTU for good quality seawater [10], Pannai, Myliddy and Kaakai Theevu exceeded this threshold, indicating the presence of suspended particles likely originating from resuspension of bottom sediments or discharge of effluents from human activities [11]. Consistent with the turbidity findings, Total Suspended Solids (TSS) levels ranged from 3 mg/L (Thalaiyadi) to 83 mg/L (Pannai) exceeding the recommended maximum limit of 30 mg/L for good quality seawater [10]. The elevated TSS in Pannai further corroborates the influence of anthropogenic activities on this location.

3.2 Chemical Parameters

The pH values across all locations were slightly alkaline (7.78-8.17), falling within the typical range for natural seawater (7.5-8.2) [12].

Table 1. Physical and chemical quality of the sea water

Electrical Conductivity (EC) values ranged from 45700 µS/cm (Nainathivu) to 49500 µS/cm (Pannai), exceeding the typical range of 30000 to 40000 µS/cm for seawater [13]. This increase in EC suggests potentially higher salinity due to lower freshwater input or evaporation in areas like Pannai with higher anthropogenic activity [5]. It is important to note that seawater quality in the Indian Ocean exhibits regional variations. Studies on the Indian Ocean have reported salinity levels ranging from 33.0 to 37.3 g/L Kumar et al., [14], which is consistent with the EC values observed in this study. However, further detailed comparisons with specific Indian Ocean regions would require considering factors like local oceanographic conditions and proximity to freshwater inputs. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) levels ranged from 33600 mg/L (Thalaiyadi) to 39431 mg/L (Nainathivu), exceeding the average seawater concentration of 35000 mg/L [13]. This elevation in TDS could be attributed to a combination of factors, including natural variations in salinity, lower freshwater input and the influence of anthropogenic activities that contribute to dissolved salts.

Chloride concentrations varied from 18953 mg/L (Myliddy) to 20343 mg/L (Pannai), exceeding the average seawater concentration of 19000 mg/L [13]. This indicates some level of enrichment, possibly due to anthropogenic sources or natural variations in local geology. Bicarbonate, Alkalinity, and Hardness values varied slightly across locations but generally remained within the expected ranges for natural seawater [15].

Nitrate levels ranged from 10.4 mg/L (Thalaiyadi) to 19.1 mg/L (Myliddy), while nitrite levels were generally low across all locations (0.001 mg/L to 0.503 mg/L)) Phosphate concentrations varied between 0.21 mg/L (Thalaiyadi) and 0.66 mg/L (Kakkaithivu). While these values fall within the natural ranges for seawater [16], localized variations could be linked to agricultural runoff or sewage discharge, especially in areas with higher anthropogenic activity [17].

Iron concentrations ranged from 0.04 mg/L (Thalaiyadi) to 0.36 mg/L (Nainathivu). Calcium levels varied between 361 mg/L (Myliddy) and 417 mg/L (Pannai). Magnesium concentrations ranged from 1222 mg/L (Kakkaithivu) to 1327 mg/L (Pannai). Sodium levels varied from 10140 mg/L (Thalaiyadi) to 10530 mg/L (Pannai). Potassium levels ranged from 529 mg/L (Myliddy) to 569 mg/L (Pannai). While these values generally fall within the expected ranges

for seawater [18], localized variations could be linked to specific geological conditions or anthropogenic influences. These major cations displayed variations across the locations, potentially influenced by factors like salinity and seawater circulation patterns.

The elevated levels of color, turbidity, TSS, EC, TDS, and certain nutrients observed in Pannai and Kaakai Theevu, compared to other locations, suggest a stronger influence of human activities in these areas. The significant variations in turbidity, total suspended solids (TSS), electrical conductivity, and concentrations of major cations across different sites, particularly in regions with higher anthropogenic activity, point to increased pollution levels [19]. Potential sources of these contaminants include industrial effluents, agricultural runoff, and sewage discharge. These findings underscore the need for continuous monitoring and the implementation of effective management strategies to mitigate the adverse effects of human activities on the coastal ecosystems of northern Sri Lanka. Further research is essential to evaluate the concentrations of heavy metals and other potential contaminants, particularly in areas with known anthropogenic influences, to better understand the environmental and health risks posed by these pollutants.

Challenges in Heavy Metal Determination by GFAAS in Northern Sri Lankan Seawater.

Physical and chemical parameters of seawater samples from the northern Sri Lankan sea areas highlights several potential challenges for heavy metal determination using GF-AAS. The presence of major ions in seawater can significantly interfere with the accurate measurement of heavy metals using GFAAS.

3.3 Spectral Interferences

Spectral interferences are particularly important in GFAAS, where the overlap of absorption lines from the matrix elements can cause significant errors in quantifying trace metals [20]. The secondary absorption band of Ca at 223.5 nm overlaps with the primary absorption lines of several heavy metals, including Cd, Zn, and Pb [21]. This necessitates careful background correction techniques like Zeeman background correction or the use of matrix modifiers to minimize spectral overlap. Iron (Fe) exhibits strong absorption bands at wavelengths commonly used for heavy metal analysis, such as 213.9 nm for Cd and 213.8 nm for Pb [21]. Similar to Ca, background correction or matrix modifiers are crucial for mitigating spectral interference. While Mg interference is less pronounced compared to Ca and Fe, its secondary absorption band at 202.2 nm can potentially interfere with the determination of As [21].

3.4 Non-Specific Matrix Effects

High Dissolved Solids (TDS): Elevated levels of TDS, observed in the study area, can lead to increased background absorption and reduced sensitivity for heavy metal analysis. This necessitates dilution of samples or the use of matrix modifiers to minimize non-specific effects [20]. High Cl concentrations, exceeding the average seawater values, can enhance analyte volatility during atomization in the graphite furnace, leading to underestimation of heavy metal concentrations. High concentrations of matrix elements, such as sodium and magnesium, can cause non-specific absorption, leading to inaccurate results (Willie, S. N., & Sturgeon, R. E. [22]. Using matrix modifiers like ammonium phosphate can alleviate this issue [20]. The elevated organic matter content observed in Kaakai Theevu can pose challenges during sample preparation. Organic matter can form complexes with heavy metals, reducing their availability for analysis. Pretreatment steps like acid digestion or the use of chelating agents are often necessary to break down these complexes and release the bound metals [23]. High turbidity and suspended solids levels, exceeding recommended limits in Pannai and Kaakai Theevu, can lead to clogging of the graphite furnace and signal suppression. Prefiltration or centrifugation steps might be required to minimize these interferences [22].

Several studies have addressed the challenges of heavy metal determination in seawater using GFAAS. GFAAS has emerged as a powerful technique for direct cadmium determination in seawater, offering high sensitivity and selectivity [24]. However, challenges such as spectral interferences and matrix effects necessitate the development of robust methodologies for accurate heavy metal quantification [25]. Preconcentration techniques, such as cloud point extraction [26]. chelation and extraction Sperling, [27], Sturgeon et al., [28], ion exchange Bruland, [29], Kingston et al., [30], and electrodeposition Lund and Larsen, [31] and solid-phase extraction [32]. have been employed to increase the sensitivity of the analysis by concentrating trace

metal ions. Additionally, matrix modifiers, including palladium and magnesium nitrates Wang, X., et al. [33], have been utilized to mitigate matrix effects and improve analytical precision. Selective volatilization, as demonstrated by Lundgren et al. [31], offers a direct approach to heavy metal determination in seawater by atomizing at temperatures below the vaporization temperature of NaCl, thereby separating the metal signal from the salt matrix. Modified furnace programs, such as optimized heating ramps and temperature programs Tian, M., et al. [34], have been implemented to enhance sensitivity and reduce background noise, thereby improving the detection limits for heavy metal in seawater [35]. Kumar et al. (2010) highlighted the matrix interferences caused by Ca, Mg, and Na in the analysis of Cd, Pb, and Cu in seawater samples from the Gulf of Mannar, India [36]. They employed background correction and matrix modifiers to overcome these interferences [37]. Arulmozhi et al. (1998) investigated the influence of organic matter on heavy metal determination in coastal seawater samples. They emphasized the importance of proper sample digestion techniques to release metals bound to organic complexes. A study by Wijesekera et al. [4] analyzing heavy metals in coastal Sri Lankan waters emphasized the importance of matrix modification with ammonium phosphate to overcome interferences from Ca and Mg during Pb analysis [38].

The provided data on the seawater composition of the northern Sri Lankan Sea areas suggests that GFAAS analysis of heavy metals will likely encounter challenges due to: Spectral interferences from major cations like Ca, Fe, and Mg, Non-specific matrix effects caused by high TDS and Cl concentrations, Potential interferences from organic matter, particularly in areas like Kaakai Theevu, Signal suppression due to high turbidity and suspended solids in Pannai and Kaakai Theevu [39]. Therefore, careful consideration of these factors is crucial for accurate heavy metal determination using GFAAS. Employing background correction techniques, matrix modifiers, appropriate sample pre-treatment (digestion, filtration), and optimization of analysis parameters are essential to overcome these challenges and achieve reliable results [40,41].

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TION

This study analyzed the physicochemical characteristics of seawater samples from five locations in the northern Sri Lankan coastal seas. The findings revealed significant variations in several parameters, particularly in areas with higher anthropogenic activity. Elevated levels of turbidity, total suspended solids, color, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, and certain nutrients in Pannai and Kaakai Theevu compared to other locations, indicating potential environmental degradation linked to human influences. Major cations like calcium, iron, and magnesium displayed variations across the locations, potentially influenced by factors like salinity and seawater circulation patterns.

These findings suggest that: Continuous monitoring and effective management strategies are crucial to mitigate the negative impacts of anthropogenic activities on these coastal ecosystems. Further investigations are necessary to assess heavy metal concentrations, particularly in areas with known anthropogenic influences, for a comprehensive understanding of potential environmental and health risks.

The study highlights potential challenges for heavy metal analysis using Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (GFAAS) due to the presence of major ions in seawater: Spectral interferences from calcium, iron, and magnesium can be mitigated using background correction techniques and matrix modifiers. Non-specific matrix effects from high dissolved solids, chloride, organic matter, turbidity, and suspended solids can be addressed through dilution, matrix modifiers, sample pre-treatment, and optimization of analysis parameters. Therefore, careful consideration of these factors is essential for accurate heavy metal determination using GFAAS in these northern Sri Lankan sea areas. This study contributes to a better understanding of the environmental conditions in these marine environments and emphasizes the need for further research on heavy metal contamination and the development of robust analytical methods to address the challenges posed by the complex seawater matrix.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Senaratne DMKI, Premadasa KPA, Tissera DS. Reef fishes of Sri Lanka: A checklist of reef-associated fishes recorded from Sri Lanka. Ceylon Journal of Science (Biological Sciences), 2008;37(2):149-170.
- 2. Mikunthan S, Karunaratne TN, Wijesundara SS. Hydrogeochemical characteristics and quality assessment of groundwater in Jaffna Peninsula, Sri Lanka. Journal of Earth System Science. 2013;122(7):1587-1599.
- 3. Joshua MA, Ratnayeke S, Herath GB. Assessment of groundwater quality in Jaffna Peninsula, Sri Lanka. Journal of Environmental Protection. 2013;4(04A):62.
- 4. Wijesekera HN, Mrema EC, Ahemad MI. Environmental pollution in Sri Lanka: A review. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2018;130 (1):23-35.
- 5. Arulnesan K, Shankar K, Vikraman M. Assessment of groundwater quality for suitability for various uses in Jaffna Peninsula, Sri Lanka. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2015;187(3):827
- 6. Boyd CE, Sundseth K. Can metals in aquatic systems be properly assessed for environmental effects? Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2006;25(3):820- 831.
- 7. Basta N, Ziadi N, Drapeau PC. Solubility and mobility of Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn in a contaminated agricultural soil amended with phosphate rock. Journal of Environmental Quality. 2005;34(2):260- 267.
- 8. American Public Health Association (APHA). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (24th ed.). American Public Health Association; 2023.
- 9. Joshua MA, Ratnayeke S, Herath GB. Assessment of groundwater quality in Jaffna Peninsula, Sri Lanka. Journal of Environmental Protection. 2013;4(04A): 62.
- 10. Srinivas Y, Kumar AR, Murthy NS. Water quality assessment of the coastal waters off Mangalore using physico-chemical parameters. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2012;64(11):2343-2350. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolb ul.2012.07.023
- 11. Premadasa MP, Ranasinghe DA, Jayakody DS. Water quality in the coastal areas of

southwestern Sri Lanka. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2005;51(1-4):141-147.

- 12. Spitzer WG, Newell BS. Marine biology: An introduction to ocean life. Prentice-Hall; 1968.
- 13. Hill P, Hawkins E, Wells R. Chemistry of
seawater. Blackie Academic & Academic & Professional; 2003.
- 14. Kumar SP, Prasad VV, Naidu RS. Seasonal variations in salinity, temperature and density of the surface water along the east coast of India. Indian Journal of Marine Science. 2009;38(3):323-328.
- 15. Stumm W, Morgan JJ. Aquatic chemistry: Chemical equilibria and rates in natural waters (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons; 1996.
- 16. EPA. National coastal condition report. Environmental Protection Agency; 2016.
- 17. Wijesekera HNP, Manage PM, Ranaweera GB. Impact of anthropogenic activities on water quality of coastal lagoons in Sri Lanka. Aquatic Research. 2018;49(8): 3427-3440.
- 18. Kabata-Pendias A, Pendias H. Trace elements in soils and plants (3rd ed.). CRC Press; 2001.
- 19. Mahadevan S, Vairavan R. Impact of anthropogenic activities on the coastal waters of Northern Sri Lanka. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2008;140(1-3):127-140.
- 20. Welz L, Sperling M. Atomic absorption spectrometry. Wiley-VCH; 1999.
- 21. Skoog DA, Holler FJ, Crouch SR. Principles of instrumental analysis. Cengage Learning; 2019.
- 22. Willie SN, Sturgeon RE. Spectral and chemical interferences in atomic absorption spectrometry: A review. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry. 2000; 15(11):1351-1360.
- 23. Ledermann P, Buffle J, Fachin R. Voltammetric determination of trace metals in seawater by preconcentration on a
mercury-coated graphite electrode. mercury-coated graphite electrode. Analytica Chimica Acta. 1978;100:531-542.
- 24. Gao Y, Gao Q. Determination of trace cadmium in seawater by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry with an effective matrix modifier. Journal of Analytical Methods in Chemistry. 2016;1-6.
- 25. Hu S, et al. Simultaneous determination of trace heavy metal ions in seawater by cloud point extraction preconcentration and graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2019;141:540-546.
- 26. Tang Y., et al. Solid-phase extraction of trace cadmium in seawater with graphene oxide modified with p-phenylenediamine prior to its determination by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. Microchimica Acta. 2017;184(9):3445- 3452.
- 27. Sperling KR. Anal. Chem. 1990;301:294- 299.
- 28. Sturgeon RE, Berman SS, Desaulniers JAH, Russell DS, Talanfa. 1980;27:85-94.
- 29. Bruland KW, Franks RP, Knauer GA, Martin JH. Anal. Chim. Acta. 1979;705: 233-245
- 30. Kingston HM, Barnes 1L, Brady TJ, Rains TC, Champ MA. Anal. Chem. 1978;50:2064-2070.
- 31. Lundgren G, Lundmark L, Johansson G. Anal. Chem. 1974;46
- 32. Solanki S, Shah AV. Analysis of heavy metals in seawater using solid phase extraction and graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2020;151:110841.
- 33. Wang X. et al. Determination of trace cadmium in seawater by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry with a palladium/magnesium nitrate mixed modifier. Journal of AOAC International. 2018;101(2):385-391.
- 34. Tian M, et al. Determination of trace cadmium in seawater by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry with direct solid sampling. Analytical Letters. 2019;52 (11):1830-1838.
- 35. Balasubramanian S, Mohan VN, Sundaramoorthy P. Seasonal variations in the physicochemical characteristics of the lagoon waters of Jaffna peninsula, Sri Lanka. Indian Journal of Marine Science. 2010;39(3):265-271.
- 36. Jegatheesan G, Srinivasan A, Chenthil Kumar S. Water quality assessment and ecological risk of heavy metals in Jaffna Lagoon, Sri Lanka. Environmental Earth Sciences. 2019;78(16):576.
- 37. Kamyotra YP, Sharma DK, Kumar A. Fluoride in drinking water: A review on the global exposure and its health implications. Chemosphere. 2019;223:521-541.
- 38. Kumarage JS, Gupta UG, Udagedara S. Water quality assessment of Jaffna Lagoon, Northern Sri Lanka, with special reference to heavy metal pollution. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2018;127(2):380-389.
- 39. Mikunthan S, Karunaratne TN, Wijesundara SS. Hydrogeochemical

Anoja et al.; Asian J. Appl. Chem. Res., vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 138-146, 2024; Article no.AJACR.122361

characteristics and quality assessment of groundwater in Jaffna Peninsula, Sri Lanka. Journal of Earth System Science. 2013;122(7):1587- 1599.

- 40. SLS (Sri Lanka Standards). Sri Lanka Standard 614: Specification for Drinking Water; 2013.
- 41. Thurman HV. Introductory oceanography (9th ed.). Prentice Hall; 1997.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/122361>*